

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/020,802                             | 12/07/2001         | John R. Fredlund     | 83564SLP            | . 8932           |  |
| 7590 02/20/2007<br>Thomas H. Close     |                    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| Patent Legal St                        | Patent Legal Staff |                      |                     | GIBBS, HEATHER D |  |
| Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street |                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201               |                    |                      | 2625                |                  |  |
|  | •                  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
| •                                      |                    |                      | 02/20/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/020,802       | FREDLUND ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit        |  |  |
| Heather D. Gibbs | 2625            |  |  |

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🖂 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 17 and 26. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3-16, 18-25 and 27-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1.Applicant's arguments filed 01/11/07 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Upon further review and regarding claim 3 and 22, Os teaches an embodiment with a removable storage media. Applicant argues the removable storage media in Os stores scanner software, as opposed to digital image data. Col 5 Lines 47-58 teaches scanner software which determines if a document is present on the scan surface and generates raw scan data which is converted to a format supported by the target application and saved in a file format and mass storage location.

Considering claims 4,23,27,28,30, Applicant argues Han does not teach that the keyboard is not disclosed ab being used for collecting information associated with the digital image. However, Col 2 Line 30-Col 3 Line 26 teaches of touch button panels that function to scan, copy, print, and fax the input image in to the input device.

Regarding claim 7, Applicant argues that Han and Brennan are not combinable because Brennan discloses a barcode scanner, as opposed to a document or image scanner in Han. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, a barcode scanner is combinable with a document or image scanner in that both devices are capable of reading images and like a flatbed scanner, it generally consist of a light source, a lens and photo conductor translating optical impulses into electrical ones.

Considering claims 8 and 25. Applicant argues that Irons teaches away from combination with Han. In Col 12 Lines 6-17, Irons teaches of software as applicant claims, which used the docket number/file image file name.

Regarding claims 10 and 12, Applicant states there in no motivation to combine Yamauchi's digital camera with Han's scanner. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both devices are reasonable alternatives to image processing as is well known in the art. Examiner would like to also point applicant's attention to Yamauchi Col 42 Lines 1-11, which teach the limitation that applicant argues.

Also, For claim 27, Han teaches the purpose of the VGA monitor is to display images from the described embodiment. See Col 10 Lines

Lastly, claim 28, Han teaches an 8-button navigational control panel 406 that is and can be used as the modification input. Examiner would like to point the applicant's attention to Col 11 Lines 42-48 and Col 12 Line 19-27, which teaches wherein the navigational button is used for cropping.